Texas Education Agency 2014-15 Federal Report Card for Texas Public Schools

Campus Name: DE SANTIAGO EC/PRE-K CENTER

Campus ID: 101902155 **District Name: ALDINE ISD**

Part I: Student Achievement by Proficiency Level

This section provides the State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) performance results for each subject area and grade level tested in the 2014-15 school year. These results include all students tested, whether or not they were in the accountability subset.

There is no data for this campus.

Part II: Student Achievement and State Academic Annual Measureable Objectives (AMOs)

This section provides the STAAR performance results for each subject area tested in the 2014-15 school year. These results only include tested students who were in the accountability subset. This section also includes fouryear and five-year graduation rates and participation rates on STAAR for reading and mathematics.

								Two				
								or			ELL	
	All	African			American		Pacific	More	Econ	Specia	I (Current &	t
	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv	Ed	Monitored) ELL +
Performance Sta	itus ‡											
Target	83%	83%	83%	83%					83%	83%	83%	
Reading					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				n/a
Mathematics					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a				n/a
Participation Sta	tus ‡											
Target	95%	95%	95%	95%					95%	95%		95%
Reading					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			n/a	
Mathematics					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			n/a	
Federal Graduati	on Status	(Target: Se	e Reaso	n Code	es)							
Graduation					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			n/a	
Target Met												
Reason Code					n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a			n/a	

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments

Reading

Alternate 1%

Number

Proficient

Total Federal

Cap Limit

Mathematics

Alternate 1%

Number

Proficient

Total Federal

Cap Limit

^{&#}x27;‡' Results for grade 3-8 mathematics, STAAR A and STAAR Alternate 2 are included in 2015 Federal System Safeguards.

- '+' Participation uses ELL (Current) rate, Graduation uses ELL (Ever HS) rate '***' Federal Graduation Rate Reason Codes:
 - a = Graduation Rate Goal of 90%
 - b = Four-year Graduation Rate Target of 83%
 - c = Safe Harbor Target of a 10% decrease in difference from the prior year rate and the goal
 - d = Five-year Graduation Rate Target of 88%

Blank cells above represent student group indicators that do not meet the minimum size criteria. 'n/a' Indicates data are not applicable to this report.

							Tv			_		
	All Afric	an		Δn	nerican	Da	cific Mc		on Sne	E ecial(Cur	LL ront & F	ELL
	StudentsAmeric		anicWh									
Performance Rates ‡												,
•												
Reading												
# at Phase-in	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Satisfactory Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
% at Phase-in	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Satisfactory Standard												
Mathematics												,
# at Phase-in	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Satisfactory Standard												
Total Tests	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- /-
% at Phase-in	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Satisfactory Standard												
Writing												n/a
# at Phase-in Satisfactory Standard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Total Tests												
% at Phase-in	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Satisfactory Standard	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	II/a
Science												
# at Phase-in	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Satisfactory Standard	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	II/a
Total Tests	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
% at Phase-in	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Satisfactory Standard												11/4
Social Studies												
# at Phase-in	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	n/a
Satisfactory Standard												
Total Tests	_	-	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-	_
% at Phase-in	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	n/a
Satisfactory Standard												
•												
Participation Rates ‡												
Reading: 2014-2015 A	Assessments											
Number	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-
Participating												
Total Students	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-
Participation Rate	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-
Mathematics: 2014-20	U15 Assessmen	its									,	
Number	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-
Participating											,	
Total Students	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-
Participation Rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	n/a	-

Results for grade 3-8 mathematics, STAAR A and STAAR Alternate 2 are included in 2015 Federal System Safeguards.

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

^{&#}x27;**' When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

'-' Indicates there are no students in the group. 'n/a' Indicates data are not applicable to this report.

								Two or			ELL	
	All	African			Americar	1	Pacific	More	Econ	Special	l(Ever	ELL
•	Students	Americar	nHispanio	White	Indian	Asian	Islande	rRaces	Disadv	/ Ed	HS) (Current)
Federal Graduation Rate	S											
4-year Longitudinal Coho	ort Grad	uation Rat	e (Gr 9-1	2): Clas	s of 2014							
Number Graduated		-		-	-		-		-		-	n/a
Total in Class		-		-	-		-				-	-
Graduation Rate		_		-	-		-		-			n/a
4-year Longitudinal Coho	ort Grad	uation Rat	e (Gr 9-1	2): Clas	s of 2013							
Number Graduated		_		-	-		-		-		-	n/a
Total in Class		-		-	-		-		-		-	-
Graduation Rate		-		-	-		-		-		-	n/a
5-year Extended Gradua	tion Rat	e (Gr 9-12): Class o	of 2013								
Number Graduated		-		-	-		-		-		-	n/a
Total in Class		_		-	-		-		-		-	-
Graduation Rate		-		-	-		-		-		-	n/a

District: Met Federal Limits on Alternative Assessments Reading

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap n/a

Limit

Mathematics

Number Proficient n/a Total Federal Cap n/a

Limit

'n/a' Indicates data are not applicable to this report.

Source: 2015 Accountability Federal System Safeguards Report

Part III: Priority and Focus Schools

Priority schools are the lowest 5% of Title I served campuses based on performance in reading, mathematics and graduation rates. Priority schools include Tier I or Tier II TTIPS schools, campuses with graduation rates less than 60%, and lowest achieving campuses based on All Students reading/math performance. Focus schools are 10% of Title I served campuses, not already identified as priority schools, that have the widest gaps between student group performance and safeguard targets. Campuses are ranked based on the largest gaps between student group reading/math performance and the annual measurable objectives (AMO) target of 75%.

Priority School Priority School Reason: N/A Identification: No Focus School Reason: N/A

Focus School Identification:

A high-performance reward school is identified as a Title I school with distinctions based on reading and math performance. In addition, at the high school level, a reward school is a Title I school with the highest graduation rates. A high progress school is identified as a Title I school in the top 25% in annual improvement; and/or a school in the top 25% of those demonstrating ability to close performance gaps based on system safeguards.

Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

^{&#}x27;**' When only one racial/ethnic group is masked, then the second smallest racial/ethnic group is masked (regardless of size).

Indicates there are no students in the group.

High Performing School: No High Progress School: No

Source: TEA Division of School Improvement and Support

Part IV: Teacher Quality Data

Part IV A: Percent of Teachers by Highest Degree Held

Professional qualifications of all public elementary and secondary school teachers in the State of Texas. The distribution of degrees attained by teachers are shown as the percent of total Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees.

	Campus			
	Number	Percent	District	State
			Percent	Percent
No Degree	0.0	0.0%	0.8%	0.9%
Bachelors	32.8	84.5%	72.4%	75.1%
Masters	6.0	15.5%	25.8%	23.4%
Doctorate	0.0	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%

Part IV B and C: Teachers with Emergency/Provisional Credentials, Highly Qualified (HQ) Teachers Low **Poverty/ High Poverty Summary Reports**

The percentage of all public elementary and secondary school teachers teaching with emergency or provisional credentials, and the percentage of classes in the state not taught by highly qualified teachers disaggregated by highpoverty compared to low-poverty schools. For this purpose, high-poverty means schools in the top quartile of poverty and low-poverty means the bottom quartile of poverty in the state.

High Poverty Core Academic Subject Areas

		General Education	Special Education	Total
Total Number of Teachers Total Number of Classes		29 29	2 2	31 31
Number of Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	29	2	31
	Percent	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Classes Taught by Not Highly Qualified Teachers	Number	0	0	0
	Percent	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

Number of Core Academic Teachers Who Are Teaching on the Following Permits

	Number of 1	Number of Teachers				
	Elem (PK-6)	 secondary (7-12)				
Emergency (for certified personnel)	Ó	Ó				
Emergency (for uncertified personnel)	0	0				
Non-renewable	0	0				
Temporary Classroom Assignment	0	0				

District Teaching Temporary

Number of Core Academic Teachers with a Probationary Certificate Enrolled in an Alternative Certification

	Number of Teachers				
	General Education Special Edu				
Highly Qualified	1	0			
Not Highly Qualified	0	0			

Source: TEA Division of Federal and State Education Policy

Part V: Graduates Enrolled in Texas Institution of Higher Education (IHE)

This section provides the percentage of students who enroll and begin instruction at an institution of higher education in the school year (fall or spring semester) following high school graduation. The rate reflects the percent of total graduates during the 2011-12 school year who attended a public or independent college or university in Texas in the 2012-13 academic year.

Report Not Required

Source: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Part VI: Statewide National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Results

The most recent NAEP results for Texas are provided showing statewide reading and mathematics performance results and participation rates, disaggregated by student group.

State Level: 2015 Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels

Grade	Subject	Student Group	% Below Basic	% At or Above Basic	% At or Above Proficient	% At or Above Advanced
Grade 4	Reading	Overall	36	64	31	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	13	87	66	30
		Black	49	51	17	2
		Hispanic	44	56	22	3
		White	18	82	50	13
		Students with Disabilities	71	29	11	2
		English Language Learners	59	41	12	2
		National School Lunch Program	46	54	20	3
	Mathematics	Overall	14	86	44	8
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	3	97	82	36
		Black	24	76	29	2
		Hispanic	16	84	37	4
		White	7	93	60	15

J 1/2010		2011 101 1	odorai riopori odi	u		
		Students with Disabilities	41	59	18	2
		English Language Learners	23	77	28	2
		National School Lunch	19	81	30	2
		Program				
Grade 8	Reading	Overall	28	72	28	2
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	12	88	55	12
		Black	38	62	19	2
		Hispanic	35	65	19	1
		White	14	86	43	4
		Students with Disabilities	70	30	5	n/a
		English Language Learners	71	29	2	n/a
		National School Lunch	36	64	18	1
		Program				
	Mathematics	Overall	25	75	32	7
		American Indian	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
		Asian	5	95	67	25
		Black	43	57	16	2
		Hispanic	31	69	23	4
		White	12	88	48	12
		Students with Disabilities	62	38	8	1
		English Language Learners	60	40	6	n/a
		National School Lunch Program	34	66	20	3

State Level: 2015 Participation Rates for Students with Disabilities and Limited English Proficient Students

Grade Grade 4	Subject Reading	Student Group Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	% 72 92
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	80 95
Grade 8	Reading	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 95
	Mathematics	Students with Disabilities Limited English Proficient	81 90

Source: TEA Division of Student Assessment